

Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 PRETOR 00970 261659 Z

46

ACTION AF-18

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 CU-04 IO-12 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-09 H-02

INR-09 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 SS-14

USIA-12 RSR-01 EUR-25 /125 W
----- 022693

R 261605 Z MAR 73

FM AMEMBASSY PRETORIA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 7836

INFO AMCONSUL CAPE TOWN

AMCONSUL DURBAN

AMCONSUL JOHANNESBURG

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE PRETORIA 0970

E. O. 11652: N/ A

TAGS: PINT, SF

SUBJECT: SOUTH AFRICAN GAMES

REF: PRETORIA 0893

CAPE TOWN ALSO FOR EMBASSY

SUMMARY: THE SOUTH AFRICAN GAMES HAVE GOTTEN UNDERWAY WITHOUT SIGNIFICANT INCIDENT. THE MOST CONTROVERSIAL PART, THE MULTIRACIAL SOCCER GAMES, PROBABLY WILL GO OFF SMOOTHLY. THE GAMES HAVE DRAWN WIDESPREAD PUBLIC INTEREST AND APPROVAL. ENGLISH PRESS VIEWS GAMES AS PROGRESS TOWARDS MULTI- RACIALISM. AFRIKAANS PRESS DESCRIBES GAMES AS EXCEPTION.

1. IN ONLY INCIDENT TO MAR OPENING OF SA GAMES, SMALL GROUP OF HNP DEMONSTRATORS ATTEMPTED TO DISPLAY ANTI- INTEGRATION PLACARDS OUTSIDE THE GYMNASTICS COMPETITION FRIDAY NIGHT. THEY WERE, ACCORDING TO PRESS, " HUSTLED OFF" BY POLICE" BEFORE THEY COULD CAUSE ANY DISTURBANCE."

2. DESPITE THE BAN OF THE INTERNATIONAL FOOTBALL FEDERATION ON INTERNATIONAL PARTICIPATION IN THE SA GAMES SOCCER, SAG
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 PRETOR 00970 261659 Z

DECIDED TO GO AHEAD WITH THE COMPETITION ON A " MULTI- NATIONAL" BASIS AND ALLOW SOUTH AFRICAN TEAMS OF DIFFERENT RACES PLAY AGAINST EACH OTHER. FIRST GAME, FEATURING WHITES VS. COLOREDS, WILL BE PLAYED TODAY. ALL 40,000 TICKETS TO THE EVENT HAVE BEEN SOLD OUT FOR SEVERAL DAYS. SAG STOUTLY DEFENDS THE CORRECTNESS OF ITS DECISION TO LET THE TOURNAMENT GO ON WITH- OUTRY Q' S AND A' S. BEGIN TEXT.

1. WILL THESE ARRANGEMENTS BE NEGOTIATED SEPARATELY FROM AGREEMENTS ON FORCE REDUCTIONS?

WE CONSIDER THAT SOME CONSTRAINTS COULD USEFULLY BE DISCUSSED BEFORE OR IN PARALLEL WITH DISCUSSIONS ON FORCE REDUCTIONS, AS PART OF THE NEGOTIATING PROCESS.

2. CAN YOU EXPLAIN IN MORE DETAIL WHAT YOU MEAN BY CONSTRAINTS? WE FEEL THAT WE HAVE ALREADY GIVEN A BROAD INDICATION OF WHAT WE HAVE IN MIND AND WOULD NOT WANT AT THIS STAGE TO ANTICIPATE SUBSTANTIVE DISCUSSIONS OF THIS AGENDA ITEM.

3. WOULD CONSTRAINTS APPLY TO AREAS WHICH MIGHT NOT BE THE SUBJECT OF AGREEMENTS ON TROOPS REDUCTIONS? THIS POSSIBILITY WOULD BE A MATTER FOR THE DETAILED NEGOTIATIONS.

4. WHAT IS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE MEASURES THAT WOULD BE DISCUSSED UNDER THIS AGENDA ITEM AND THOSE UNDER CONSIDERATION AT HELSINKI? THE MEASURES UNDER DISCUSSION AT HELSINKI ARE DESIGNED TO PROMOTE CONFIDENCE AMONG MANY. THE MEASURES WE HAVE IN MIND ARE DESIGNED TO REMOVE MISCONCEPTIONS AMONG A FEW. END TEXT.

6. BELGIAN PRESENTATION ON VERIFICATION; SUPPLEMENTARY Q' S AND A' S. BEGIN TEXT.

1. Q - WHY DO WE NEED A SPECIFIC ITEM ON VERIFICATION WHEN WE KNOW THAT THIS MATTER WILL BE PART OF THE SUBSTANTIAL DISCUSSION OF ANY AGREEMENTS, A. WE REGARD THIS QUESTION AS OF SUFFICIENT IMPORTANCE IN ITSELF TO JUSTIFY A SEPARATE AGENDA ITEM.

2. A. COULD YOU EXPLAIN THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN VERIFICATION AND CONTROLS, BOTH CONCEPTS MENTIONED IN YOUR STATEMENT, CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 VIENNA 02406 02 OF 02 262023 Z

A. WE WOULD PREFER TO AVOID AT THIS STAGE, ANY SUBSTANTIAL

COMMENT ON THESE SUBJECTS AND LIMIT OUR DISCUSSION TO
THE ELABORATION OF A WORDING GENERALLY ACCEPTABLE FOR
THE AGENDA.

3. A. DO YOU THINK THAT ANY MBFR AGREEMENT WILL REQUIRE
NECESSARILY MODALITIES OF VERIFICATION OF CONTROLS?
A. THE ESSENTIAL POINT, IN EACH CASE, WILL BE TO IDENTIFY
THE MEANS OF PROVIDING ASSURANCES OF COMPLIANCE. THESE
MEANS WILL DEPEND ON THE EXTENT AND NATURE OF THE AGREEMENTS.
END TEXT. HUMES

CONFIDENTIAL

*** Current Handling Restrictions *** n/a

*** Current Classification *** LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 26 MAR 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973PRETOR00970
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: n/a
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: PRETORIA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730337/aaaaiggo.tel
Line Count: 129
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: ACTION AF
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 73 PRETORIA 0893
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 11 JAN 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <11-Jan-2002 by martinjw>; APPROVED <25 FEB 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: <DBA CORRECTED> jms 971224
Subject: SOUTH AFRICAN GAMES
TAGS: PINT, SF
To: AF
CAPE TOWN
DURBAN
JOHANNESBURG
SECSTATE WASHDC
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

